

Jenny W. Chen (SBN205043)
CHEN IP Law Group
7F, No.1, Alley 30, Lane 358
Rueiguang Road, Neihu District
Taipei Taiwan 114
Telephone: +886.2.7721.8855
Facsimile: +886.2.7721.8822
Email: Jenny.Chen@ChenIPLaw.com

Vinay V. Joshi (SBN 213487)
Eastwind Consultants Company Limited
57th Floor, Key Tower
127 Public Square
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
Telephone: (408) 893-1512
Facsimile: (216) 696-8731
Email: Vinay.Joshi@EastwindConsult.com

Attorneys for Defendant
ASIA PACIFIC MICROSYSTEMS, INC.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, a
Delaware Corporation, and HEWLETT-
PACKARD DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, L.P.
a Texas Limited Partnership,
Plaintiffs,**

v.

**MICROJET TECHNOLOGY CO. LTD., a
Taiwanese corporation, ASIA PACIFIC
MICROSYSTEMS, INC., a Taiwanese
Corporation, MIPO TECHNOLOGY
LIMITED, a Hong Kong Corporation, MIPO
SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.,
GUANGZHOU, a Chinese Corporation,
MEXTEC GROUP INC. d/b/a MIPO
AMERICA LTD., a Florida Corporation,
SINOTIME TECHNOLOGIES, INC. d/b/a ALL
COLORS, a Florida Corporation, and PTC
HOLDINGS LIMITED, a Hong Kong
Corporation,
Defendant(s).**

Case No. 10-02175-HRL

**DEFENDANT ASIA PACIFIC
MICROSYSTEMS, INC.'S JOINDER
IN DEFENDANTS MIPO
TECHNOLOGY LIMITED AND
MIPO SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY
CO., LTD., GUANGZHOU'S
MOTION FOR STAY PURSUANT
TO 28 U.S.C. § 1659 (Docket No. 15)**

1 Defendant Asia Pacific Microsystems, Inc. (“APM”) hereby joins in Defendants Mipo
2 Technology Limited and Mipo Science & Technology Co., Ltd., Guangzhou’s (together “Mipo”)
3 Motion for Stay Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1659 (Docket No. 15).

4 For substantially the same reasons set forth in Mipo’s Memorandum of Points and
5 Authorities, APM respectfully moves the Court to stay this case until there is a final resolution of
6 the related investigation pending before the United States International Trade Commission, titled
7 *In the Matter of CERTAIN INKJET INK CARTRIDGES WITH PRINTHEADS AND*
8 *COMPONENTS THEREOF*, Investigation No. 337-TA-723.
9

10 APM also respectfully moves the Court to allow APM 45 days to Answer or otherwise
11 respond to HP’s Complaint in this case after the stay is lifted upon final resolution of the ITC
12 investigation.
13

14 Counsel for APM discussed this matter with counsel for Plaintiff HP and is informed that
15 HP does not oppose Mipo’s motion, APM’s joinder and APM’s 45 day request.

16 APM respectfully submits that APM’s motion for joinder is timely under 28 U.S.C. §
17 1659 (a) (1) because it is submitted within 30 days of June 21, 2010, the date on which ITC
18 issued a Notice of Investigation for Investigation No. 337-TA-723, naming APM as one of the
19 Respondents thereto. *See* Mipo’s Motion at Fry Declaration, Exhibit “C.”
20
21

22 Respectfully submitted on this 20th day of July, 2010.
23

24 By: /s/ Vinay V. Joshi
25 Jenny W. Chen (SBN205043)
26 **CHEN IP Law Group**
27 7F, No.1, Alley 30, Lane 358
Rueiguang Road, Neihu District
Taipei Taiwan 114
28 Telephone: +886.2.7721.8855
Facsimile: +886.2.7721.8822
Email: Jenny.Chen@ChenIPLaw.com

Vinay V. Joshi (SBN 213487)
Eastwind Consultants Company Limited
57th Floor, Key Tower
127 Public Square
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
Telephone: (408) 893-1512
Facsimile: (216) 696-8731
Email: Vinay.Joshi@EastwindConsult.com

Attorneys for Defendant
Asia Pacific Microsystems, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on July 20, 2010, I caused a copy of the foregoing to be electronically filed with the Clerk of the District Court using the CM/ECF system, which sent notification of such filing to counsel of record by email.

By: /s/ Vinay V. Joshi

Vinay V. Joshi